

Model-based Security with UMLsec

Jan Jürjens

Software & Systems Engineering
Informatics, Munich University of Technology
Germany



jan@jurjens.de

<http://www.jurjens.de/jan>



A Need for Security

Society and economies rely on **computer networks** for communication, finance, energy distribution, transportation...

Attacks threaten **economical** and **physical** integrity of people and organizations.

Interconnected systems can be attacked **anonymously** and from a safe **distance**.

Networked computers need to be **secure**.

Problems, Causes

Many **flaws** found in design or implementation of security-critical systems, sometimes years after publication or use.

- Designing secure systems is **difficult**.
- Designers often **lack** background in security.
- Security as an **afterthought**.
- Cannot use security mechanisms „blindly“.

Previous approaches

„Penetrate-and-patch“:

- insecure
- disruptive

Traditional formal methods: **expensive**.

- training people
- constructing formal specifications.

Goal: Security by design

Consider security

- from **early** on
- within **development** context
- taking an **expansive** view
- in a **seamless** way.

Secure **design** by model **analysis**.

Secure **implementation** by **test** generation.

Using UML

UML: unprecedented opportunity for **high-quality** critical systems development **feasible** in industrial context:

- De-facto **standard** in industrial modeling: large number of developers trained in UML.
- **Relatively precisely** defined.
- Many **tools** in development.

Used fragment of UML

Activity diagram

Class diagram

Sequence diagram

Statechart diagram

Deployment diagram

Package

Stereotypes, tags, constraints

Current: UML 1.5

UML Extension mechanisms

Stereotype: **specialize** model element using `«label»`.

Tagged value: **attach** `{tag=value}` pair to stereotyped element.

Constraint: **refine** semantics of stereotyped element.

Profile: **gather** above information.

UMLsec

UMLsec: extension for **secure systems** development.

- evaluate UML specifications for **vulnerabilities**
- encapsulate security engineering **patterns**
- also for developers **not specialized** in security
- security from **early** design phases, in system **context**
- make certification **cost-effective**

The UMLsec profile

Recurring security requirements as stereotypes with tags (secrecy, integrity,...).


Associated constraints to **evaluate** model, indicate possible **vulnerabilities**.

Ensures that stated security requirements **enforce** given security policy.


Ensures that UML specification **provides** requirements.

Basic Security Requirements

Secrecy



Integrity



¿InternetÀ, ¿encryptedÀ, ...


Kinds of communication **links** resp. system **nodes**.

For adversary type A , stereotype s , have set $\text{Threats}_A(s) \in \{\text{delete, read, insert, access}\}$ of actions that adversaries are capable of.

Default attacker:

Stereotype	$\text{Threats}_{\text{default}}()$
Internet	{delete, read, insert}
encrypted	{delete}
LAN	\emptyset
smart card	\emptyset

Requirements with use case diagrams



Capture security requirements
in use case diagrams.

Constraint: need to appear in
corresponding activity diagram.

¿fair exchangeÀ

Ensures generic **fair exchange** condition.


Constraint: after a **{buy}** state in activity diagram is reached, eventually reach **{sell}** state.

(Cannot be ensured for systems that an attacker can stop completely.)

Example ¿fair exchangeÀ

Customer buys a good from a business.

Fair exchange means:
after payment,
customer is
eventually either
delivered good or
able to **reclaim**
payment.




¿secure linksÀ

Ensures that physical layer meets security requirements on **communication**.

Constraint: for each dependency d with stereotype $s \in \{\text{¿secrecyÀ}, \text{¿integrityÀ}\}$ between components on nodes $n \neq m$, have a communication link l between n and m with stereotype t such that

- if $s = \text{¿secrecyÀ}$: have $\text{read} \notin \text{Threats}_{(t)}$.
- if $s = \text{¿integrityÀ}$: have $\text{insert} \notin \text{Threats}_{(t)}$.

Example ¿secure linksÀ



Given default adversary type, constraint for stereotype ¿secure linksÀ violated: According to the Threats_{default}(Internet) scenario, ¿InternetÀ link does not provide secrecy against default adversary.


¿secure dependencyÀ

Ensure that ¿callÀ and ¿sendÀ dependencies between components **respect** security requirements on communicated data given by tags {secrecy}, {integrity}.

Constraint: for ¿callÀ or ¿sendÀ dependency from *C* to *D* (and similarly for {secrecy}):

- Msg in *D* is {secrecy} in *C* if and only if also in *D*.
- If msg in *D* is {secrecy} in *C*, dependency stereotyped ¿secrecyÀ.

Example ¿secure dependencyÀ



Violates ¿secure dependencyÀ: Random generator and ¿callÀ dependency do not give security level for random() to key generator.

¿no down-flowÀ


Enforce secure **information flow**.

Constraint:

Value of any data specified in **{secrecy}** may influence **only** the values of data also specified in **{secrecy}**.

Formalize by referring to formal behavioural semantics.

Example ¿no down-flowÀ



¿no down-flowÀ **violated**: partial information on input of high **wm()** returned by non-high **rx()**.

¿ data securityÀ

Security requirements of data marked ¿criticalÀ **enforced** against threat scenario from deployment diagram.

Constraints:

Secrecy of {secrecy} data preserved.


Integrity of {integrity} data preserved.

Example ¿data securityÀ

Variant of TLS
(INFOCOM`99).
Violates {secrecy}
of s
against default
adversary.

«data security»
«critical»
{secrecy = {s, K_C^{-1} }}

«Internet»




¿ guarded accessÀ

Ensures that in Java, ¿ guardedÀ classes only accessed through {guard} classes.

Constraints:

- References of ¿ guardedÀ objects remain secret.
- Each ¿ guardedÀ class has {guard} class.

Example ¿ guarded accessÀ



Provides ¿ guarded accessÀ:
 Access to **MicSi** protected by **MicGd**.

Concepts covered by UMLsec

Security requirements: ζ secrecy \hat{A} ,...

Threat scenarios: Use $\text{Threats}_{adv}(\text{ster})$.

Security concepts: For example ζ smart card \hat{A} .

Security mechanisms: E.g. ζ guarded access \hat{A} .

Security primitives: Encryption built in.

Physical security: Given in deployment diagrams.

Security management: Use activity diagrams.

Technology specific: Java, CORBA security.

Security Analysis

Model classes of **adversaries**.

May **attack** different parts of the system according to threat scenarios.

Example: **insider** attacker may intercept communication links in LAN.

To evaluate security of specification, simulate jointly with adversary model.

Tool-support: Concepts

Meaning of diagrams stated informally in (OMG 2001).

Possible ambiguities problem for

- tool support
- analysis of behavioral properties (such as security)

Use precise semantics for part of UML defined as pseudo-code. Include adversary model for simulation.

Tool-support: Technology

Commercial modelling tools: so far mainly **syntactic** checks and **code-generation**.

Goal: more sophisticated analysis;
connection to **analysis** tools.

Several possibilities:

- General purpose language with integrated XML parser (Perl, ...)
- Special purpose XML parsing language (XSLT, ...)
- Data Binding (Castor; XMI: e.g. MDR)

Data-binding with MDR

Extracts data from XMI file into Java Objects, following UML 1.4 meta-model.

Access data via methods.

Advantage: No need to worry about XML.

Connection with analysis tool

Industrial CASE tool with UML-like notation: **AUTOFOCUS**

(<http://autofocus.informatik.tu-muenchen.de>)

- verification
- code generation
- test-sequence generation

Connect UML tool to underlying analysis engine.

Applications

- Common Electronic Purse Specifications
- Analysis of multi-layer security protocol for web application of major German bank
- Analysis of SAP access control configurations for major German bank
- Risk analysis of critical business processes (for Basel II / KontraG)
- ...


Some resources

Book: Jan Jürjens, Secure Systems Development with UML, Springer-Verlag, due 2003

Tutorial @ CSS'03, Cancun (Mexico), 19-21 May.

More information:

<http://www.jurjens.de/jan>



Finally

We are always interested in **industrial challenges** for our **tools, methods,** and **ideas** to **solve practical problems.**

More info: <http://www.jurjens.de/jan>

Contact me here or via Internet.

Thanks for your attention !